


ANC 79002

DTNSRDC/SME-78/66

DAVID W. TAYLOR NAVAL SHIP
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER

Bethesda, Maryland 20084



ADB035893

IMPROVING THE FATIGUE PERFORMANCE OF
WELDED ALUMINUM ALLOYS

by
T.W. Montemarano
M.E. Wells

D D C
APR 10 1979
RECEIVED

DISTRIBUTION LIMITED TO U.S. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES ONLY;
TEST AND EVALUATION; MARCH 1979. OTHER REQUESTS FOR THIS
DOCUMENT MUST BE REFERRED TO THE SURFACE-EFFECT SHIP PROJECT
OFFICE (PMS 304-23).

D D C FILE COPY


IMPROVING THE FATIGUE PERFORMANCE
OF WELDED ALUMINUM ALLOYS

SHIP MATERIALS ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT REPORT

March 1979

DTNSRDC/SME-78/66

MAJOR DTNSRDC ORGANIZATIONAL COMPONENTS



UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER DTNSRDC/SME-78/66	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) IMPROVING THE FATIGUE PERFORMANCE OF WELDED ALUMINUM ALLOYS,		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Test and Evaluation
7. AUTHOR(s) Thomas W. Montemarano and Michael E. Wells		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS David W. Taylor Naval Ship R&D Center Bethesda, MD 20084		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Sea Systems Command (PMS 304) Washington, DC 20362		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Task Area S4629 Work Units 1-2822-107 1-9420-801
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Research and Development Dept.		12. REPORT DATE March 1979
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 43
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) DISTRIBUTION LIMITED TO U.S. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES ONLY; TEST AND EVALUATION; MARCH 1979. OTHER REQUESTS FOR THIS DOCUMENT MUST BE REFERRED TO THE NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND (PMS 304)-23.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
19. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Aluminum 5000 Series Welding Fatigue Brush Peening		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A program was undertaken to develop methods for improving the fatigue performance of welded 5086-H116 alloy aluminum for surface effect ship con- struction. Of the methods investigated, postweld brush shot peening was found to offer the best improvement. Shot peening studies were conducted using two types of commercially available peening brushes. The effect of peening parameters on peening intensity was determined. Fatigue test (Continued on reverse side)		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-LF-014-6601

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

410 660

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

(Block 20 continued)

10 mil (124) results showed that peening intensities of 0.0035 to 0.0080 inch significantly improved the fatigue performance of 5086 butt and fillet weldments. The fatigue strength of 5086 butt welds was improved to the level of unwelded base metal, and the fatigue strength of 5086 fillet welds was increased from 5,500 to 10,000 pounds per square inch at ⁽¹⁰⁾ cycles. The wide range of optimum peening intensities makes the brush peening process relatively insensitive to operator error. Based on the fatigue results, brush shot peening was applied to weld repaired fatigue cracks on the surface effect ship, SES-100A, and was successfully performed on irregular weld surfaces in areas of limited accessibility. Due to the significant improvements in fatigue performance of welded 5086 and the relative insensitivity of fatigue life to operator process variables, rotary brush peening should be considered a potential method to be used in aluminum hull construction for postweld treatment in areas subjected to localized cyclic loading.

△

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF FIGURES	iii
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	vi
ABSTRACT	1
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION	1
INTRODUCTION	1
BACKGROUND	1
SCOPE	2
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE	2
MATERIALS	2
WELDMENT PREPARATION AND TESTING	3
SHOT PEENING	4
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	5
BUTT-WELD PEENING	7
FILLET-WELD PEENING	9
PROCESS VARIABLES	11
SHIP APPLICATION	12
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	13
FUTURE WORK	14
REFERENCES	37

ACCESSION for	
NTIS	Write Form <input type="checkbox"/>
DOC	B. H. Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED	<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION	
BY	
DISTRIBUTION AND AVAILABILITY CODES	
Dist	Code
B	

LIST OF FIGURES

1 - Scatter Bands for Fatigue Test Results of SES Hull Aluminum Alloys	15
2 - Fatigue Test Specimens	16
3 - Peening Brushes	17

	Page
4 - Apparatus for Determining Peening Intensity.	18
5 - Flap Deflection Range for 9/16- x 1-Inch Flap Brush and 12-Inch-Diameter Flap Brush.	19
6 - Fatigue Performance of 5086-H116 Base Metal and Unpeened Weldments	20
7 - Effect of Peening Variables on Peening Intensity	21
8 - Surface Appearance of Peened 5086-H116 Base Plate	22
9 - Brush Peening of 5086-H116 Butt-Weld Fatigue Test Specimen.	23
10 - Screening Fatigue Test Results of Brush Peened 5086-H116 Butt Welds	24
11 - Fatigue Tested Peened Butt-Weld Specimens.	25
12 - Fracture Location in Peened Specimen Taken From a Weldment Having Extensive Subsurface Lack of Fusion Defects	26
13 - Effect of Brush Shot Peening on Fatigue Per- formance of Butt-Welded 5086-H116.	27
14 - Screening Fatigue Test Results of Brush Peened 5086-H116 Fillet Welds.	28
15 - Fatigue Tested Peened Fillet Weld.	29
16 - Effect of Brush Shot Peening on Fatigue Per- formance of Fillet-Welded 5086-H116.	30
17 - Effect of Peening on Base Plate Hardness	31
18 - Operating Time/Intensity Curves for Tungsten Carbide Shot Brush.	32
19 - Operator Effects on Peening Intensity.	33
20 - Peened Repair-Welded Fatigue Crack	34
21 - Peened Doubler Plate Covering Repair- Welded Transom Crack	35

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
1 - Welding Parameters Employed in Fabrication of 5086-H116 Weldments.	3
2 - Fatigue Performance of 5086-H116 Base Plate and Unpeened Weldments.	6
3 - Comparison of Fatigue Performance of Unpeened and Peened Specimens From the Same Butt Weldments	8
4 - Fatigue Performance of Postweld Peened 5086-H116 Butt Welds.	9
5 - Fatigue Performance of Postweld Peened 5086-H116 Fillet Welds.	11

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A	Amperes
cpm	Cycles per minute
GMAW	Gas-metal-arc welding
HAZ	Heat-affected zone
kJ/in	Kilojoules per inch
kJ/m	Kilojoules per meter
ksi	Thousand pounds per square inch
mm	Millimeter
mm/sec	Millimeter per second
MPa	Megapascals
psi	Pounds per square inch
rpm	Revolutions per minute
SES	Surface Effect Ship
S-N Curve	Stress versus cycles to failure curve
V	Volts

ABSTRACT

A program was undertaken to develop methods for improving the fatigue performance of welded 5086-H116 alloy aluminum for surface effect ship construction. Of the methods investigated, postweld brush shot peening was found to offer the best improvement. Shot peening studies were conducted using two types of commercially available peening brushes. The effect of peening parameters on peening intensity was determined. Fatigue test results showed that peening intensities of 0.0035 to 0.0080 inch significantly improved the fatigue performance of 5086 butt and fillet weldments. The fatigue strength of 5086 butt welds was improved to the level of unwelded base metal, and the fatigue strength of 5086 fillet welds was increased from 5,500 to 10,000 pounds per square inch at 10^7 cycles. The wide range of optimum peening intensities makes the brush peening process relatively insensitive to operator error. Based on the fatigue results, brush shot peening was applied to weld repaired fatigue cracks on the surface effect ship, SES-100A, and was successfully performed on irregular weld surfaces in areas of limited accessibility. Due to the significant improvements in fatigue performance of welded 5086 and the relative insensitivity of fatigue life to operator process variables, rotary brush peening should be considered a potential method to be used in aluminum hull construction for postweld treatment in areas subjected to localized cyclic loading.

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

This report was prepared under Work Units 1-2822-107 and 1-9420-801, Task Area S4629, Task 10341.

The work reported herein was conducted under the supervision of Mr. F.J. Lengenfelder, Head, Titanium and Nonferrous Metals Fabrication Branch, Fabrication Technology Division.

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

An investigation was conducted at this Center to develop suitable methods to improve the fatigue performance of welded 5086 alloy aluminum

for SES* construction. During high-speed operation the hull of this type of ship is expected to experience significant cyclic loading due to wave encounters. A previous study showed that the high cycle fatigue performance of 5086 aluminum alloys was seriously degraded by welding, as shown in Figure 1. Degradation was attributed to softening of the weld bead and the presence of weld microporosity, levels of which were either undetectable by radiography or allowable by current standards.^{1**} Concern over the potential performance of aluminum welds subjected to cyclic loading resulted in an investigation to develop methods to improve the fatigue performance of butt- and fillet-welded 5086 aluminum welds. Methods investigated for improving fatigue performance included welding procedure modifications, improvements in weld quality and postweld treatments. Of the methods investigated, postweld brush shot peening was shown to significantly improve the fatigue strength of butt and fillet welds.

SCOPE

This report presents the results of postweld brush shot peening on the fatigue performance of butt- and fillet-welded 5086 alloy aluminum.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

MATERIALS

The materials of primary consideration for SES construction are exfoliation resistant grades of 5086 and 5456 aluminum alloys. These alloys are nonheat-treatable, strengthened primarily by work hardening, and have essentially the same fatigue performance.² The base plate chosen for this study was 1/4- and 5/8-inch-thick alloy 5086 in the H116 exfoliation resistant temper.³ Aluminum alloy 5356 filler wire was used in fabrication of butt and fillet weldments.⁴

*A list of abbreviations is given on page vi.

**A list of references is given on page 37.

WELDMENT PREPARATION AND TESTING

Weldments were fabricated automatically in the flat position by the GMAW process in the spray mode. The welding parameters employed are shown in Table 1. After welding, the weldments were subjected to radiographic inspection. Test blanks were removed from unwelded base plate and weldments and machined into the fatigue specimens shown in Figure 2. Specimens were prepared so that all welds were transverse to the long specimen axis. After machining, the test sections of the specimens were given a rotary wire brush finish. Specimens were tested in air in completely reversed bending fatigue (stress ratio: $R = \text{minimum stress}/\text{maximum stress} = -1$) using a Sonntag testing machine at a cycle test frequency of 1800 cpm until complete fracture occurred.

TABLE 1 - WELDING PARAMETERS EMPLOYED IN FABRICATION OF 5086-H116 WELDMENTS

Weldment Identifi- cation	Voltage	Current	Travel Speed		Heat Input	
	V	A	in/min	mm/sec	kJ/in	kJ/m
S5-2	25	230	19	8.0	18	70.9
S5-4	24	230	21	8.9	16	70.0
S5-5	25	240	23	9.7	16	70.0
S5-8	28	265	24	10.2	19	74.8
S5-9	27	265	21	8.9	20	78.7
S5-10	28	300	24	10.2	21	82.7
S5-17	31	245	21	8.9	22	86.6
S5-18	30	230	22	9.3	19	74.8
Average	27	250	22	9.3	19	74.8
Range	24-31	230-300	19-24	8-10.2	16-22	70.0-86.6

SHOT PEENING

Shot peening studies were conducted using two types of commercially available peening brushes. The first type of brush consisted of cast steel shot uniformly distributed and bonded to nylon cloth flaps which are mounted on a rigid hub. These brushes were used in either 8- or 12-inch diameter by 1-inch width and were mounted on conventional electric hand grinders as shown in Figure 3a. The other type of brush, described in Military Specification MIL-W-81840,⁵ consists of tungsten carbide shot bonded in one or two rows along the outer edges of two small nylon reinforced polymeric flaps. The flap assembly is held in a mandrel mounted in a hand-held grinder or flexible shaft tool as shown in Figure 3b.

In use the brushes are rotated rapidly with peening occurring as the shot strikes the workpiece. This type of peening, rather than conventional shot or hammer peening, was investigated because of its potential for use as a portable, manual or automatic method of controlled peening.

The degree of peening (known as almen intensity) is determined by measuring the curvature, in terms of arc height, induced in an originally flat standard steel strip. The almen intensity is dependent on the force with which the shot impacts the workpiece and the time of peening. The impact force is dependent on the type of shot, brush diameter and rotational speed.

A standard almen strip and almen gage used for determining almen intensity are shown in Figure 4. The procedures used for determining almen intensity are described in military specification MIL-R-81841 and are excerpted below.⁶

1. With a new almen strip placed on the almen gage, set zero curvature on the gage dial.
2. Position the almen strip on magnetic strip holder.
3. Brushpeen the strip at a fixed speed and for a given time so as to fully cover the strip with peening impressions.
4. Determine the strip arc height (almen intensity) by placing the peened strip in the almen gage.
5. The strip is peened for additional periods of time and the intensities measured to generate peening time/intensity curves.

Manufacturers' literature concerning these brushes states that sufficient brush flap deflection must be obtained for optimum peening results.⁷ Minimum and maximum brush deflections to be used for the small (9/16 X 1-inch) tungsten carbide shot brush and the 12-inch-diameter cast steel shot brush are shown in Figure 5. Preliminary peening tests were run with the operator applying sufficient pressure to cause flap deflections equivalent to both the minimum and maximum limits of the range shown in Figure 5. During subsequent discussions the minimum and maximum limits are referred to as light and heavy peening pressure, respectively. The rotational speed of the peening brushes was controlled through the use of a variable speed drive motor and a strobe light. Using a practice plate, the brush speed was set by an assistant adjusting the variable speed control while the operator maintained the proper peening pressure. The operator could then vary peening pressure, as necessary, to maintain a constant speed as indicated by the stationary image of an index mark on the brush when illuminated by the strobe light set at the desired speed of the drive motor. This technique controlled both the brush speed and peening pressure and was not difficult to master.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of Sonntag fatigue tests performed on 5086-H116 base plate, transverse butt weldments with reinforcement removed, and transverse tee fillet weldments (shown in Figure 6 and Table 2) indicate that the fatigue strength of this alloy is seriously degraded by welding. In the butt-welded specimens, fatigue cracks initiated in and propagated through the weld metal. Cracks in the fillet-welded specimens initiated at the weld toes and propagated through the HAZ of the continuous member (flange). To effect an improvement in the fatigue performance of 5086-H116, preliminary brush peening studies were performed.

To determine initial peening intensities for use on the fatigue specimens, sections of 5/8-inch-thick 5086-H116 plate were brush peened using the 8- and 12-inch-diameter brushes. The effect of different combinations of brush diameter, brush rpm, peening time and operator pressure on peening intensities is plotted in Figure 7. During the preliminary peening tests, the maximum brush deflection (heavy peening pressure) was found to be the

TABLE 2 - FATIGUE PERFORMANCE OF 5086-H116
BASE PLATE AND UNPEENED WELDMENTS

Condition	Weldment Identification	Stress		Cycles to Failure 10^6
		ksi	MPa	
5086-H116 Base Plate		15.0	103.4	13.60
		15.0	103.4	24.65
		17.5	120.6	2.60
		20.0	137.9	1.36
		22.5	155.1	0.20
		22.5	155.1	0.21
5356 Filler Butt Welds (Reinforcement Removed)	S5-1D	7.5	51.71	40.22
	S5-3B	8.5	58.60	11.97
	S5-4A	10.0	68.95	1.52
	S5-14C	10.0	68.95	2.48
	S5-23B	10.0	68.95	3.49
	S5-9C	10.0	68.95	4.24
	S5-7A	11.5	79.28	0.94
	S5-1C	12.5	86.18	1.07
	S5-14B	12.5	86.18	1.11
	S5-9B	12.5	86.18	1.69
	S5-6D	14.0	96.55	0.69
	S5-2A	15.0	103.4	0.37
	S5-23A	15.0	103.4	0.43
	S5-7C	15.0	103.4	0.47
	S5-6C	16.0	110.3	0.36
S5-1A	17.5	120.6	0.12	
S5-7B	17.5	120.6	0.15	
S5-6A	18.0	124.1	0.17	
5356 Filler Fillet Welds	1	5.0	34.47	36.92
	2	7.5	51.71	1.45
	3	7.5	51.71	2.06
	4	10.0	68.95	0.24
	5	10.0	68.95	0.35
Notes: All tests in air. Bending fatigue (R = -1).				

easiest for the operator to maintain. It is evident from Figure 7 that increases in the value of any one of the peening variables, while maintaining the others constant, will result in increases in the peening intensity. Photographs showing the typical surface appearance of peened aluminum plates with the peening parameters and peening intensities used are shown in Figure 8. Examination of the peened surfaces revealed two types of general surface appearance. Light peening pressure gave the appearance of overlapping circular spots. This spotted surface, Figures 8a and 8c, would normally be expected when using conventional shot peening in which the steel or carbide shot strikes normal to the surface of the workpiece. Heavy peening pressure produced a spot appearance, superimposed on a background of waves running in the direction of peening, Figures 8b, 8d, and 8e. The wave pattern is felt to be unique to rotary brush peening and is caused by lateral plastic flow of the surface in the direction of brush rotation.

Based on the amounts and types of surface deformations obtained during the preliminary studies, peening parameters were selected for fatigue screening. Almen (A) scale intensities from 0.0015 to 0.0065 inch, and peening conditions which results in both spot- and wave-type surface patterns were chosen for initial fatigue evaluation.

BUTT-WELD PEENING

With weld reinforcements removed, butt-welded 5/8-inch-thick fatigue specimens were peened using the 8- and 12-inch-diameter peening brushes to almen (A) scale intensities of 0.0015, 0.0025, 0.0035 and 0.0065 inch. Peening was performed on both plate surfaces only in the weld area. To assure that peening intensities were accurate, an almen strip was peened along with each fatigue specimen. The specimens peened at intensities of 0.0015 and 0.0035 inch exhibited a spotted surface while the other specimens showed wavy patterns. A typical butt-weld specimen undergoing peening is shown in Figure 9.

After peening the specimens were fatigue tested. The results of these screening tests were compared to the results of unpeened butt welds in Figure 10. Although some peened specimens exhibited surface discontinuities from previous handling, such as dents and machining marks, (Figure 11) peening caused the fracture to occur away from the defect locations.

A comparison of fatigue results for unpeened and peened specimens taken from the same weldments (S5-2, S5-4) is shown in Table 3. Radiographs of weldment S5-4 had revealed extensive lack of fusion defects in the specimens used for fatigue testing. This defect can be seen in the fracture surface of the unpeened specimen S5-4A, Figure 12. However, the peened specimen taken from this weldment, S5-4C (Figure 12), lasted significantly longer when tested at a higher stress level and did not fracture through the defect area.

TABLE 3 - COMPARISON OF FATIGUE PERFORMANCE OF UNPEENED AND PEENED SPECIMENS FROM THE SAME BUTT WELDMENTS

Weld Identification	Unpeened Specimens			Peening Intensity	Peened Specimens		Cycles to Fracture 10 ⁶
	Stress		Cycles to Fracture 10 ⁶		Stress		
	ksi	MPa			ksi	MPa	
S5-2	15.0	103.4	0.37	0.0065	15.0	103.4	12.54
S5-4	10.0	68.95	1.52	0.0065	15.0	103.4	10.62

Analysis of the screening fatigue data did not reveal any deleterious effects from the type of peened surface, neither wave nor spot, on fatigue performance. Based on these results additional butt-welded specimens were peened to generate a full S-N curve. Tests were also run to determine if it were possible to overpeen the butt welds and cause a degradation of fatigue performance by peening to almen (A) scale intensity of 0.0080 inch. The results of the fatigue tests performed on the specimens are listed in Table 4. Figure 13 shows the fatigue test results for all of the peened butt weldments. These data show that peening in the range of 0.0035 to 0.0080 inch (the maximum peening intensity that could be obtained using the 8- or 12-inch-diameter brushes) significantly improves the fatigue strength of butt-welded 5086-H116. At the high-cycle to failure end of the curve, the peened specimen fatigue performance is equal to or possibly higher than the base metal performance. In the low-cycle, high-stress region, peened

TABLE 4 - FATIGUE PERFORMANCE OF POSTWELD
PEENED 5086-H116 BUTT WELDS

Peening Intensity (Almen A)	Stress		Cycles to Failure 10 ⁶	Surface Appearance
	ksi	MPa		
0.0035	15.0	103.4	33.4*	Spot
0.0035	17.5	120.6	2.7	Spot
0.0065	15.0	103.4	10.6*	Wave
↑	15.0	103.4	12.5*	
↑	17.5	120.6	1.4	
↑	17.5	120.6	2.1	
↑	17.5	120.6	2.7	
↑	17.5	120.6	12.2	
↓	20.0	137.9	0.2	
↓	20.0	137.9	0.5	
0.0065	22.5	155.1	0.1	
0.0080	15.0	103.4	12.0*	Wave
0.0080	17.5	120.6	3.0	Wave

*No failure.
Notes: All tests in air.
Bending fatigue (R = -1).
Reinforcement removed.
5356 filler metal.
Peening performed by 12-inch-diameter brush.

specimens, while performing better than unpeened welds, are only slightly lower in fatigue strength than the base metal. It is also significant that the maximum intensity which could be obtained with the 8- or 12-inch brushes did not result in any deleterious overpeening. This factor, along with the overall wide range of optimum peening intensities that improve fatigue performance, 0.0035 to 0.0080 almen (A), makes the brush peening process relatively insensitive to operator error.

FILLET-WELD PEENING

The effects of postweld peening on fillet-weld fatigue performance were screened using the optimum butt-weld peening intensities. Peening was performed using the 12-inch-diameter brush rotating parallel to the stiffener length direction. Areas further than 3/8-inch away from the toes

of the welds were masked, using glass cloth adhesive tape to prevent inadvertent peening of these areas. Fillet specimens were fatigue tested in two conditions. In the first, only the toes of the welds were peened; in the other, both the weld toes and the plate surface opposite the stiffener (underside) were peened.

The initial peening had a somewhat erratic effect on fillet-weld fatigue performance, as shown in Figure 14. Some specimens, peened at 0.0035, 0.0065 or 0.0080-inch almen (A) scale intensity, showed a 50% improvement over the as-welded fatigue strength at 10^7 cycles, whereas other specimens fractured at stress levels and cycles-to-failure equivalent to unpeened welds. Examination of the fractured specimens revealed the presence of rolled lips that formed on the specimen edges during peening, as shown in Figure 15. By peening parallel to the stiffener into a free edge of the specimen, rolled lips were formed and sometimes acted as crack initiation sites. Crack initiation sites were also located in regions where local weld irregularities had, in effect, masked the weld toes from the 12-inch peening brush. It was also observed that peening only the weld toes forced fatigue initiation to the back or unwelded side of the flange.

Further peening tests showed that the small tungsten carbide peening brushes, which were designed for peening in restricted areas, were the most effective method for obtaining 100% peening coverage, while avoiding excessive specimen edge deformation. The 9/16- by 1-inch flap brush could easily be manipulated to allow for peening irregularities at the weld toe while peening parallel or perpendicular to the stiffener.

Fillet-welded, 1/4-inch-thick specimens were peened on the weld toes and on the back of the flange to almen (A) scale intensities of 0.0040 and 0.0070 inch, using the 9/16- x 1-inch flap brush. After peening, the specimens were fatigue tested. Results of these tests (Figure 16 and Table 5) show that peening with this type of brush improves the fatigue strength of 5086 fillet welds from an as-welded strength of 5,500 psi to 10,000 psi at 10^7 cycles.

TABLE 5 - FATIGUE PERFORMANCE OF
POSTWELD PEENED 5086-H116
FILLET WELDS

Peening Intensity (Almen A)	Stress		Cycles to Failure 10 ⁶
	ksi	MPa	
0.0040	10.0	68.95	5.0
	11.0	75.84	5.1
	12.0	82.74	0.7
0.0070	10.0	68.95	10.1*
	11.0	75.84	7.8
	12.0	82.74	0.8

*No failure.
Notes: All tests in air.
Bending fatigue (R = -1).
5356 filler metal.
Peening performed by 9/16- by 1-inch flap brush.
Specimens peened on both sides of flange.

PROCESS VARIABLES

Since brush shot peening was shown to significantly improve the fatigue performance of 5086 butt and fillet weldments, the characteristics of the peening process were further investigated. This discussion is confined to the small tungsten carbide shot peening brushes since these flap brushes were the easiest for the operator to manipulate in restricted areas, and to obtain 100% peening coverage of irregular surfaces and in restricted areas.

Brush shot peening is a cold work process which plastically deforms and strain hardens the soft aluminum weld and induces residual compressive stresses into the weld area. The increased hardness and residual compressive stress act to improve fatigue strength by retarding the initiation and growth of fatigue cracks. The hardness of the material relative to depth from surface for different peening intensities is shown in Figure 17.

Since the effect of work hardening on the surface extends approximately 1/4 inch (6 mm) deep, such a peened surface should be resistant to damage or removal by subsequent surface treatments or grinding.

The operating time intensity curves used for fillet-weld peening are shown in Figure 18. The small size of the 9/16- by 1-inch flap and the use of only a single row of shot on each flap results in lower peening intensities when used at the same rotational speeds as the large brushes. However, the construction of the small flaps allows peening at speeds up to 15,000 rpm. The higher rotational speed and the use of dense tungsten carbide shot, rather than steel shot, can ultimately give much higher intensities than the 8- and 12-inch brushes.

Figure 19 compares the peening intensities obtained by two operators using the 9/16- by 1-inch brush. Each operator made three separate test strips at different times. The range of intensity values obtained for each operator is also shown. The differences in the intensity curves are due to different brush manipulation techniques and amounts of pressure applied by each operator. These curves illustrate the need for "calibrating" each operator to determine the brush rpm and peening time required to yield the specified peening intensity. Published curves should be used only as a guide for establishing actual peening parameters. The military specification for peening (MIL-R-81841) calls for a repeatability of intensities for a particular operator to be less than ± 0.001 inch.⁶ In the tests run to generate Figure 19, the maximum range of intensities for an operator at a particular peening time was 0.0006 inch. Potential problems with operator reproducibility are minimized by following the specification, which requires that during production peening a test strip be run every 30 minutes to verify the actual peening intensity used. The size of the effective peening almen range, 0.0045 inch, for aluminum welds will also minimize the effects of operator variation. Reasonable quality control procedures, such as those in MIL-R-81841, should assure that effective peening is performed.

SHIP APPLICATION

Based on the results reported herein, the SES Project Office (PMS 304) requested that brush shot peening be performed as part of the procedure

for weld repair of fatigue cracks on the SES-100A (100-ton SES test craft) prior to craft modification. Peening was performed using the 9/16- by 1-inch tungsten carbide flap brushes. The area to be peened was laid out in grids with each grid corresponding to the size of an almen strip. Peening parameters were chosen to yield the desired range of peening intensities (0.0035 to 0.0080) on each grid in from 30 to 240 seconds. Grid layout was done so the operator could concentrate on providing a uniform surface coverage. Flap speed and peening pressure was controlled by the variable speed motor/strobe light technique previously described. When the operator felt that complete coverage had been achieved, he stopped and noted the peening time. The peened surface was then examined at 10X for visible evidence of plastic flow to indicate complete coverage. For accessible, smooth areas the average peening time for each grid was 45 seconds. Irregular surface areas in locations of poor accessibility required up to 120 seconds of peening time. When peening flat surfaces the peening brushes remained intact up to 1 hour but lasted only 5 to 10 minutes when peening sharp edges. Examples of peened areas on the SES-100A are shown in Figures 20 and 21.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The following conclusions and recommendations are derived from this investigation on developing methods to improve the fatigue performance of welded 5086-H116 alloy aluminum for SES construction.

1. Postweld brush shot peening improves the fatigue performance of butt-welded 5086-H116 (with the weld reinforcement removed) to the level of unwelded base metal and can increase the fatigue strength of 5086-H116 fillet welds from 5,500 to 10,000 psi at 10^7 cycles.

2. Due to the degree of improvement in fatigue performance of butt-welded 5086 and the relative insensitivity of fatigue life to operator process variables, rotary brush peening should be considered a potential method to be used in aluminum hull construction for postweld treatment in areas subjected to localized cyclic loading.

3. Although the improvement in fatigue life of the SES-100A repair welds is not yet known, the ability to conduct the peening operation in an aluminum ship structure makes brush peening an attractive method for

treating areas susceptible to fatigue cracking both in new construction and during repair of aluminum hull surface effect ships and other high performance craft.

FUTURE WORK

Based on the results of the investigation the following recommendations for future work are made:

1. The effectiveness of brush shot peening for improving the axial fatigue performance of aluminum weldments should be determined.
2. The effect of brush shot peening on the stress corrosion resistance of 5000 series aluminum welds should be investigated.
3. The requirements for including rotary brush shot peening of aluminum welds as an approved method in the applicable Navy fabrication documents should be determined and satisfied.
4. Conventional shot peening should be investigated for "in plant" peening of welded aluminum panels during new construction.




Figure 1 - Scatter Bands for Fatigue Test Results of SES Hull Aluminum Alloys




Figure 2a - Butt-Welded Specimens




Figure 2b - Tee Fillet-Welded Specimens

Figure 2 - Fatigue Test Specimens



Figure 3a - Cast Steel Shot Brushes




Figure 3b - Tungsten Carbide Shot Brushes

Figure 3 - Peening Brushes

- 1 - Almen Gage
- 2 - Almen Strip and Magnetic Strip Holder




Figure 4 - Apparatus for Determining Peening Intensity






Figure 5a - 9/16- x 1-Inch Flap Brush



5/8-Inch Flap
Deflection
(maximum)



1/8-Inch Flap
Deflection
(minimum)




Figure 5b - 12-Inch-Diameter Flap Brush

Figure 5 - Flap Deflection Range for 9/16- x 1-Inch
Flap Brush and 12-Inch-Diameter Flap Brush




Figure 6 - Fatigue Performance of 5086-H116
 Base Metal and Unpeened Weldments
 (all tests in air)




Figure 7 - Effect of Peening Variables on Peening Intensity




Figure 8a
Almen (a) Intensity: 0.0015
Peening Pressure : Light




Figure 8c
Almen (a) Intensity: 0.0035
Peening Pressure : Light




Figure 8d
Almen (a) Intensity: 0.0060
Peening Pressure : Heavy




Figure 8b
Almen (a) Intensity: 0.0025
Peening Pressure : Heavy




Figure 8e
Almen (a) Intensity: 0.0080
Peening Pressure : Heavy

Figure 8 - Surface Appearance of Peened 5086-H116 Base Plate
(12-inch-diameter brush) (3X)




Figure 9 - Brush Peening of 5086-H116
Butt-Weld Fatigue Test Specimen




Figure 10 - Screening Fatigue Test Results of Brush Peened 5086-H116 Butt Welds (12-Inch-Diameter Brush) (all tests in air)






Figure 11 - Fatigue Tested Peened Butt-Weld Specimens
(circles denote surface discontinuities in fatigue surface; arrows denote fractures)

Unpeened specimen (S5-4A).
Fracture surface showing
lack of fusion defects
found in this weldment.
Tested at: 10.1 ksi.
Cycles to failure:
1.5X10⁹

Lack of Fusion



Peened specimen (S5-4C). Tested at: 15.0 ksi. Cycles to failure: 1×10^7 . Peening intensity: 0.0065A.
(arrow denotes fracture in grip area)

Figure 12 - Fracture Location in Peened Specimen Taken From a
Weldment Having Extensive Subsurface Lack of Fusion Defects




Figure 13 - Effect of Brush Shot Peening on Fatigue Performance of Butt-Welded 5086-H116 (12-Inch-Diameter Brush) (all tests in air)




Figure 14 - Screening Fatigue Test Results of Brush Peened 5086-H116 Fillet Welds (12-Inch-Diameter Brush) (all tests in air)




Figure 15 - Fatigue Tested Peened Fillet Weld
(arrows denote specimen edges rolled during peening)




Figure 16 - Effect of Brush Shot Peening on Fatigue Performance of Fillet-Welded 5086-H116 (9/16- x 1-Inch Flap Brush) (all tests in air)




Figure 17 - Effect of Peening on Base Plate Hardness




Figure 18 - Operating Time/Intensity Curves for Tungsten Carbide Shot Brush




Figure 19 - Operator Effects on Peening Intensity



Figure 20
Peened Repair-Welded Fatigue Crack





Figure 21 - Peened Doubler Plate Covering
Repair-Welded Transom Crack

REFERENCES

1. Czyryca, E.J., "Corrosion Fatigue Tests of Aluminum Alloys for Surface Effect Ship Hulls," NSRDC Report 28-363 (Oct 1972).
2. Blaze, G.C., "The 5000-Series Alloys Suitable for Welded Structural Applications," ALCOA Green Letter, Aluminum Co. of America, Application Engineering Division, New Kensington, PA (Oct 1972).
3. "Aluminum, Plate and Sheet," Fed Spec QQ-A-250 (Dec 1968).
4. "Electrodes, Welding, Bare, Aluminum Alloys," Mil Spec MIL-E-16053L (5 Aug 1970).
5. "Wheels, Peening, Rotary Flap," Mil Spec MIL-W-81840 (Feb 1972).
6. "Rotary Flap Peening of Metal Parts," Mil Spec MIL-R-81841 (Feb 1972).
7. "3M Branch Roto Peen Flap Assemblies, Type TC330," 3M Company, Technical Data Sheet (Mar 1972).

CENTER DISTRIBUTION

Copies		CENTER DISTRIBUTION	
		Copies	Code
17	NAVSEA		
	1 SEA 03C		
	1 SEA 035	1	1630
	1 SEA 03522		
	2 SEA 09G32	1	173
	1 PMS 300		
	1 PMS 303	1	2802
	10 PMS 304		
		1	2803
4	NAVSEC		
	2 SEC 6101D	1	2809H
	1 SEC 6120D		
	1 SEC 6128	1	281
2	DDC 	1	2814
1	Study Center	1	282
	National Maritime Research		
	Center	20	2822
	Kings Point, NY 11024		
		1	522.1
		2	5231

DTNSRDC ISSUES THREE TYPES OF REPORTS

1. DTNSRDC REPORTS, A FORMAL SERIES, CONTAIN INFORMATION OF PERMANENT TECHNICAL VALUE. THEY CARRY A CONSECUTIVE NUMERICAL IDENTIFICATION REGARDLESS OF THEIR CLASSIFICATION OR THE ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT.

2. DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS, A SEMIFORMAL SERIES, CONTAIN INFORMATION OF A PRELIMINARY, TEMPORARY, OR PROPRIETARY NATURE OR OF LIMITED INTEREST OR SIGNIFICANCE. THEY CARRY A DEPARTMENTAL ALPHANUMERICAL IDENTIFICATION.

3. TECHNICAL MEMORANDA, AN INFORMAL SERIES, CONTAIN TECHNICAL DOCUMENTATION OF LIMITED USE AND INTEREST. THEY ARE PRIMARILY WORKING PAPERS INTENDED FOR INTERNAL USE. THEY CARRY AN IDENTIFYING NUMBER WHICH INDICATES THEIR TYPE AND THE NUMERICAL CODE OF THE ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT. ANY DISTRIBUTION OUTSIDE DTNSRDC MUST BE APPROVED BY THE HEAD OF THE ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS.